Topicalisation patterns in Old French Christine Meklenborg Salvesen University of Oslo

MAIN CLAIMS The aim of this paper is to investigate the properties of *si*-topicalisation in Old French. I will essentially make two claims: (1) *si* may be both a head and a phrase, depending on its structural position and on what kind of element that is topicalised. This is in turn related to the structural position of the preceding topic; (2) topicalisation with *si* as a head is generated by movement and behaves in a way that strongly resembles Germanic Clitic Left Dislocation (GCLD).

OUTLINE Topicalisation is a process that places an XP in a left-peripheral position. This XP may be picked up by a resumptive element in the clause proper. In Old French, three different elements may resume the topicalised element: the personal pronoun, the demonstrative pronoun and the left-peripheral particle si (1). While the first two are quite rare in Old French, topicalisation with si is common. Si may follow initial adverbial clauses (1a), initial PPs, adverbs, and DPs (1b).

(1)	a.	Et [quant le Pasque fu passee], si y vinrent trestout	•
		and wuen the Easter was passed SI there came all	
		'And when Easter was over, everybody came there.'	(clari, p.8)
	b.	[Vostre mere] si fu moult sage	
		your mother SI was very wise	
		'Your mother was very wise.'	(atrper, p.50, v.1576)

Si is a prominent feature in medieval Italian and French. The status of *si* is much debated in the literature. While some consider it to be a head (Ferraresi and Goldbach, 2003; Ledgeway, 2008) others see it as a phrase (Benincà, 2006; Donaldson, 2012; Salvesen, 2013). The novelty of the present proposal is to claim that it may in fact be both.

In (1a) *si* may be replaced by a pronominal subject or an XP that functions as the first element of a V2 structure. However, when the element preceding *si* is a PP, an adverb or a DP (1b), *si* is the only element which may intervene between the topic and the finite verb. The difference may be captured by assuming that the fronted adverbial clause is merged in a clause-external position (Kiparsky, 1995), that I will dub FrameP (Benincà and Poletto, 2004), that is followed by a regular V2 structure. Non-clausal XPs, on the other hand, are in SpecTopP and *si* is the lexicalization of Top^o. I assume that the locus of V2 is FinP (pace Wolfe (2015)).

(2) $[_{\text{FrameP}} \text{ adverbial clause} [_{\text{ForceP}} [_{\text{TopP}} \text{ XP} [_{\text{Top}^{\circ}} si [_{\text{FinP}} si [_{\text{FinP}} V_{fin}]]]]]$

The structure in (1b) strongly resembles GCLD (3). In this kind of topicalisation the resumptive d-pronoun (*dem* 'them' in (3)) immediately follows the topicalised element (Altmann, 1981; Grohmann, 2000; Axel, 2007; Salvesen, 2013).

(3) $[Naboene]_i$ $[dem]_i$ kjenner vi ikke. neighbours.DET they know we not 'We don't know the neighbours.'

It is common to assume that the fronted GCLD been moved into this position (for detailed analyses, see among others Grohmann (2000); Grewendorf (2002); Frascarelli and Hinterhölzl (2007), but pace Frey (2004)). According to Holmberg (2015) the V2 property requires movement of a finite verb into a head in the left periphery, followed by the movement of an XP into

Norwegian

its specifier. In (3) the resumptive d-linked pronoun in SpecFinP fulfils this requirement. In fact, if the fronted topic had been base generated, the derivation of the V2 structure would have crashed, as no element is moved into or through SpecFinP.

In Old French, the clitic status of the object pronoun renders structures like the one in (3) impossible. However, the versatile element si is used in the exact same position as the Germanic resumptive pronoun. When the non-clausal XP preceding si is moved to SpecTopP, there is no overt phonological material in SpecFinP, and the derivation must be rescued by the presence of si. In Germanic it is assumed that the resumptive pronoun is what satisfies this criterion. In Old French, no d-pronoun may surface in SpecFinP. However, there is no overt material between Fin^o and Top^o, and as such si is visible from Fin^o and satisfies the phonological requirement of the V2 criterion. This is essentially the same analysis as the one proposed for adverbial resumption by Holmberg (in prep.) and Salvesen (in prep.).

Consequently, the derivation of the two structures is as follows (4).

- (4) **clause-external clause:** $[FrameP adverbial clause [ForceP ... [FinP si [FinP V_{fin}]]]]$
- (5) **clause-internal topic:** $[_{ForceP} [_{TopP} XP [_{Top^{\circ}} si [_{FinP} XP [_{Fin^{\circ}} V_{fin}]]]]]$

In Modern French, it is assumed that topics are base generated (De Cat, 2007). Thus, the above analysis implies that Old French differed from Modern French in that it topics also could be moved.

References

- Hans Altmann. Formen der "Herausstellung" im Deutschen : Rechtsversetzung, Linksversetzung, freies Thema und verwandte Konstruktionen, volume 106 of Linguistische Arbeiten. Niemeyer, Tübingen, 1981. ISBN 3484301066.
- Katrin Axel. Studies on Old High German Syntax. Number 112 in Linguistik Aktuell. John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 2007.
- Paola Benincà. A Detailed Map of the Left Periphery of Medieval Romance. In Raffaella Zanuttini, Hector Campos, Elena Herburger, and Paul H. Portner, editors, *Crosslinguistic research in syntax and semantics : negation, tense, and clausal architecture*, pages 53–86. Georgetown University Press, Washington D.C., 2006.

Paola Benincà and Cecilia Poletto. Topic, Focus, and V2. In Luigi Rizzi, editor, The Structure of CP and IP: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, volume 2 of Oxford Studies in Comparative Syntax, pages 52–75. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

Cécile De Cat. French Dislocation: Interpretation, Syntax, Acquisition. Oxford studies in theoretical linguistics; 17. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.

Bryan Donaldson. Initial subordinate claues in Old French: Syntactic variation and the clausal left periphery. *Lingua*, 122:1021–1046, 2012. Gisella Ferraresi and Maria Goldbach. Particles and sentence structure: a historical perspective. In Uwe Junghanns and Luka Szucsich, editors,

Syntactic structures and morphological information. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003. Mara Frascarelli and Roland Hinterhölzl. Types of topics in German and Italian. In Kerstin Schwabe and Susanne Winkler, editors, *On*

Information Structure, Meaning and Form, Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today. John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 2007. Werner Frey. Notes on the syntax and the pragmatics of german left dislocation. In Horst Lohnstein and Susanne Trissler, editors, *The syntax and semantics of the left periphery*, pages 203–233. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.

Gunther Grewendorf. Left dislocation as movement. Georgetown University Working Papers in Theoretical Linguistics, 2(1):31-81, 2002.

- Kleanthes K. Grohmann. Copy left dislocation. In Roger Billerey and Brook Danielle Lillehaugen, editors, *Proceedings of the Nineteenth West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, pages 139–152. Cascadilla Press, Somerville, MA, 2000.
- Anders Holmberg. Verb Second. In T. Kiss and A. Alexiadou, editors, *Syntax an International Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Research*, HSK Series. Walter de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 2015.
- Anders Holmberg. On the Bottleneck Hypothesis in Swedish. In Theresa Biberauer, Sam Wolfe, and Rebecca Woods, editors, *Rethinking V2*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, in prep.
- Paul Kiparsky. Indo-european Origins of Germanic Syntax. In Adrian Battye and Ian Roberts, editors, *Clause Structure and Language Change*, Oxford studies in comparative syntax. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

Adam Ledgeway. Satisfying V2 in early Romance: Merge vs. Move. J. Linguistics, 44:437-470, 2008.

- Christine Meklenborg Salvesen. Topics and the left periphery: a comparison of Old French and Modern Germanic. In Terje Lohndal, editor, In Search of Universal Grammar: From Old Norse to Zoque, pages 131–172. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 2013.
- Christine Meklenborg Salvesen. Resumptive Particles and Verb Second. In Theresa Biberauer, Sam Wolfe, and Rebecca Woods, editors, *Rethinking V2*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, in prep.

Sam Wolfe. Microvariation in Medieval Romance Syntax, A Comparative Study. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 2015.